arXiv:1410.3623v5 [math.NT] 17 Mar 2016

DISTRIBUTION OF COMPLEX ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

FRIEDRICH GÖTZE, DZIANIS KALIADA, AND DMITRY ZAPOROZHETS

Abstract. For a region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote by $\Psi(Q;\Omega)$ the number of complex algebraic numbers in Ω of degree $\leq n$ and naive height $\leq Q$. We show that

$$\Psi(Q;\Omega) = \frac{Q^{n+1}}{2\zeta(n+1)} \int_\Omega \psi(z)\, \nu(dz) + O\left(Q^n\right), \quad Q \to \infty,$$

where ν is the Lebesgue measure on the complex plane and the function ψ will be given explicitly.

1. Introduction

Results on the distribution of both the real and complex algebraic numbers concerning regular systems (Beresnevich [2], Bernik and Vasil'ev [4]; see also the review by Bugeaud [6]) suggest that for any fixed degree n algebraic numbers of sufficiently large height are distributed quite regularly.

An important question for all algebraic numbers (of a given height) in this respect had been asked by Mahler in his letter to Sprindžuk in 1985: what is the distribution of algebraic numbers of a fixed degree $n \geq 2$?

The following answer to this question was suggested in [20] (see also [19], [21] for the case $n = 2$). Fix $n \geq 2$ and consider an arbitrary interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\Phi(Q;I)$ the number of real algebraic numbers in I of degree at most n and height at most Q. Then

$$\Phi(Q;I) = \frac{Q^{n+1}}{2\zeta(n+1)} \int_I \varphi(x)\, dx + O\left(Q^n \log^{l(n)} Q\right), \quad Q \to \infty, \tag{1}$$

where $\zeta(\cdot)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function and $l(n)$ is defined by

$$l(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 2, \\ 0, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The limit density φ is given by the formula

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{B_x} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n k t_k x^{k-1} \right| dt_1 \dots dt_n,$$

where the domain B_x is defined by

$$B_x = \left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \,:\, \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |t_k| \leq 1,\ |t_n x^n + \dots + t_1 x| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11N45 (primary), 11C08 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. algebraic numbers, distribution of algebraic numbers, integral polynomials.

Supported by CRC 701, Bielefeld University (Germany).

If $x \in [-1+1/\sqrt{2}, 1-1/\sqrt{2}]$, then $\varphi(x)$ can be simplified as follows:

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{2^{n-1}}{3}\left(3 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(k+1)^2 x^{2k}\right).$$

In [16] this result was generalized to the limit correlations between real algebraic conjugates. Note in passing that $2^{-n-1}\varphi$ coincides with the density of the real roots of a random polynomial with independent coefficients uniformly distributed on $[-1,1]$ (see, e.g., [15, Section 3]).

The aim of this note is to obtain a *complex* counterpart of (1). The real and complex cases are quite different from each other. In particular, the result for the non-real numbers can not be deduced from the real case. Therefore we use a different approach to solve this problem.

Let us give a very brief overview of some related works. There are numerous papers studying the distribution of distances between algebraic conjugates. In this active research area, notable results were obtained in [9], [14], [3], [10], [7], [8]. This problem is closely related with the problem of the distribution of polynomial discriminants [5], [17].

In [3] Beresnevich, Bernik and Götze obtained the following result. Let $n \geq 2$ and $0 < \rho \leq \frac{n+1}{3}$. Then for all sufficiently large Q and any interval $I \subset [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ there exist at least $\frac{1}{2}Q^{n+1-2\rho}|I|$ real algebraic numbers α of degree n and height $H(\alpha) \asymp_n Q$ having a real conjugate α^* such that $|\alpha - \alpha^*| \asymp_n Q^{-\rho}$.

Using potential theory Pritsker [25] considered the case when $n \to \infty$ and found the asymptotic distribution of the roots of an integral polynomial whose generalized Mahler measure satisfies some conditions. As a corollary he obtained the solution of Schur's problem on traces of algebraic numbers. The paper [25] also contains a number of references on this subject. Pritsker's results are closely related to the problem of the distribution of the complex roots of random polynomials with i.i.d. coefficients when $n \to \infty$. The landmark result of Erdős and Turán [13] implies that the arguments of the complex roots are asymptotically uniformly distributed (see [18] for the proof without any additional assumption). Moreover, under some quite general assumptions the roots are clustered near the unit circle (see [28], [18]).

Some papers are devoted to the asymptotic behavior of the number of algebraic elements α of a fixed degree n and a bounded multiplicative Weil height $\mathcal{H}(\alpha) \leq X$ over some base number field (as X tends to infinity). Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_n(X)$ denote the number of such elements over the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$. Masser and Vaaler [24] established the following asymptotic formula using a result by Chern and Vaaler [11]:

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_n(X) = \sigma_n X^{n(n+1)} + O\left(X^{n^2}\log^{l(n)} X\right), \quad X \to \infty,$$

where the explicit factor σ_n and the implicit big-O-notation constant depend on n only. Here the Weil height $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ can be expressed in terms of the Mahler measure by $\mathcal{H}(\alpha) = M(\alpha)^{1/n}$. Note that X is of order $Q^{1/n}$, where Q is the upper bound for the corresponding naive heights. In [23] Masser and Vaaler generalized this result to arbitrary base number fields. References and some historical results related to the topic can be found in [22, Chapter 3, §5]. Note that these results are based on the use of the Weil height and do not overlap with ours.

2. Main result

For an integral polynomial

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0, \quad a_n \neq 0,$$

its height is defined as $H(p) := \max_{0\le i\le n} |a_i|$.

A *minimal polynomial* p of an algebraic number α is an integral nonzero polynomial of the minimal degree with coprime coefficients such that $p(\alpha) = 0$. Given an algebraic number α, its degree $\deg(\alpha)$ and height $H(\alpha)$ are defined as degree and height of the corresponding minimal polynomial.

We always assume that degree n is arbitrary but *fixed*. Hence the constants in different asymptotic relations (as $Q \to \infty$) in this paper might depend on n.

For a complex region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote by $\Psi(Q;\Omega)$ the number of algebraic numbers in Ω of degree at most n and height at most Q. We always assume that Ω does not intersect the real axis and that its boundary consists of a finite number of algebraic curves.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \ge 2$ be a fixed arbitrary integer. We have that*

$$\Psi(Q;\Omega) = \frac{Q^{n+1}}{2\zeta(n+1)} \int_\Omega \psi(z)\nu(dz) + O\left(Q^n\right), \quad Q \to \infty, \tag{2}$$

where ν is the Lebesgue measure on the complex plane. The limit density ψ is given by the formula

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \int_{D_z} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \left((k+1)z^k - \frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z} \right) \right|^2 dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}. \tag{3}$$

The integration is performed over the region

$$D_z = \Bigg\{ (t_1,\dots,t_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \max_{1\le k\le n-1} |t_k| \le 1, \\ \left| z \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \left(z^k - \frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z} \right) \right| \le 1, \ \left| \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} z} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \operatorname{Im} z^{k+1} \right| \le 1 \Bigg\}.$$

The implicit constant in the big-O-notation in (2) depends on n, the number of the algebraic curves that form the boundary $\partial\Omega$, and their maximal degree only.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 3. Now let us derive several properties of the limit density ψ.

Proposition 2.2. *The function ψ is positive on $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies the following functional equations:*

$$\psi(-z) = \psi(\bar{z}) = \psi(z),$$
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = |z|^4 \psi(z). \tag{4}$$

Proof. The positiveness as well as the first relation are trivial. To prove (4), note that for any integral irreducible polynomial $g(z)$ of degree n, the polynomial $z^n g(z^{-1})$ is also irreducible and has the same degree and the same height. Hence for any region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ it holds

$$\Psi(Q;\Omega) = \Psi(Q;\Omega^{-1}),$$

where Ω^{-1} is defined as $\Omega^{-1} = \{z^{-1} \in \mathbb{C} : z \in \Omega\}$. Letting Q tend to infinity, we get by applying Theorem 2.1

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(z)\, \nu(dz) = \int_{\Omega^{-1}} \psi(z)\, \nu(dz).$$

On the other hand, after the substitution $z \to 1/z$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(z)\, \nu(dz) = \int_{\Omega^{-1}} \psi(z^{-1})|z|^{-4}\, \nu(dz).$$

Since the class of regions Ω is sufficiently large, (4) follows. □

Proposition 2.3. *Near the real line the density ψ admits the following asymptotic approximation:*

$$\psi(x_0 + iy) = A|y| \cdot (1 + o(1)), \quad y \to 0, \tag{5}$$

where the constant A does not depend on y and can be written explicitly as follows:

$$A = \int\limits_{\widetilde{D}_{x_0}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(k+1) t_k x_0^{k-1} \right|^2 dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}.$$

Here the integration is performed over the region

$$\widetilde{D}_{x_0} = \Bigg\{ (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \max_{1 \le k \le n-1} |t_k| \le 1, \\ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k t_k x_0^{k+1} \right| \le 1, \ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (k+1) t_k x_0^{k} \right| \le 1 \Bigg\}.$$

Relation (5) may be regarded as a "repulsion" of exponent 1 of complex roots from the real axis.

Proof. Since

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z} = \frac{z^{k+1} - \bar{z}^{k+1}}{z - \bar{z}} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} z^{k-j}\, \bar{z}^{j},$$

it follows that

$$(k+1)z^k - \frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} z^{k-j} \left(z^j - \bar{z}^j \right) \\ = (z - \bar{z}) \sum_{j=1}^{k} z^{k-j} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} z^{j-1-m} \bar{z}^m = (z - \bar{z}) \sum_{s=1}^{k} s z^{s-1} \bar{z}^{k-s}.$$

Hence $\psi(z)$ and D_z can be rewritten as follows:

$$\psi(z) = 4\, |\operatorname{Im} z| \int_{D_z} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \sum_{s=1}^{k} s z^{s-1} \bar{z}^{k-s} \right|^2 dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1},$$

and

$$D_z = \left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \max_{1 \le k \le n-1} |t_k| \le 1, \right. \\ \left. \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \sum_{j=1}^{k} z^{k-j+1}\, \bar{z}^j \right| \le 1, \ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \sum_{j=0}^{k} z^{k-j}\, \bar{z}^j \right| \le 1 \right\}.$$

Note that $\widetilde{D}_{x_0} = D_{x_0+0\cdot i}$. Letting $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0$ concludes the proof. □

Proposition 2.4. *For $|z| \ge 1$, the function $\psi(z)$ can be estimated by*

$$\psi(z) \asymp_n \frac{|\operatorname{Im} z|}{|z|^6},$$

where the implicit constant depends on n only.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that $\psi(z) \asymp_n |\operatorname{Im} z|$ for $|z| \le 1$. Hence (4) yields the proof. □

If $|z|$ is relatively small or relatively large, then it is possible to write the limit density in a simpler form.

Proposition 2.5. *If $|z| \le 1 - 1/\sqrt{2}$, then*

$$\psi(z) = \frac{2^{n-1}}{3\,|\operatorname{Im} z|} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| (k+1) z^k - \frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z} \right|^2.$$

If $|z| \ge 2 + \sqrt{2}$, then

$$\psi(z) = \frac{2^{n-1}}{3\,|\operatorname{Im} z|} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|z|^{4k+4}} \left| (k+1) \bar{z}^k - \frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z} \right|^2.$$

Proof. For $|z| \le 1 - 1/\sqrt{2}$ it holds

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} (k-1)|z|^k \le 1, \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{n} k|z|^{k-1} \le 1,$$

which leads to

$$D_z = [-1, 1]^{n-1},$$

and a straightforward integration yields the first relation. The second statement follows from the first one and (4). □

Let us conclude the section by considering the case $n = 2$.

Example. In the case of quadratic algebraic numbers the density function takes the form

$$\psi(z) = \frac{4}{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \int_{D_z} |t \operatorname{Im} z|^2\, dt,$$

where

$$D_z = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| \le \min\left(1, \frac{1}{|z|^2}, \frac{1}{2|\operatorname{Re} z|}\right) \right\}.$$

By some elementary transformations, we obtain

$$\psi(x+iy)=\begin{cases}\frac{8}{3}y, & \text{if } x^2+y^2\le 1, \text{ and } |x|\le\frac{1}{2},\\ \frac{y}{3x^3}, & \text{if } (|x|-1)^2+y^2\le 1, \text{ and } |x|>\frac{1}{2},\\ \frac{8y}{3(x^2+y^2)^3}, & \text{if } (|x|-1)^2+y^2>1, \text{ and } x^2+y^2>1.\end{cases}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We start with some notation.

For any Borel set $A\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ denote by $\mathrm{Vol}(A)$ the Lebesgue measure of A, denote by $\lambda(A)$ the number of points in A with integer coordinates, and denote by $\lambda^*(A)$ the number of points in A with coprime integer coordinates. The Riemann zeta function is denoted by $\zeta(\cdot)$ and the Möbius function is denoted by $\mu(\cdot)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_Q$ the class of all integral polynomials of degree at most n and height at most Q. The cardinality of this class is $(2Q+1)^{n+1}$. Recall that an integral polynomial is called *prime*, if it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, primitive (the greatest common divisor of its coefficients equals 1), and its leading coefficient is positive.

For $k\in\{0,1,\dots,n\}$ denote by γ_k the number of prime polynomials from $\mathcal{P}_Q$ that have exactly k roots lying in Ω. For any algebraic number its minimal polynomial is prime, and any prime polynomial is a minimal polynomial for some algebraic number. Therefore,

$$\Psi(Q;\Omega)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}k\gamma_k. \tag{6}$$

Consider a subset $A_k\subset[-1,1]^{n+1}$ consisting of all points $(t_0,\dots,t_n)\in[-1,1]^{n+1}$ such that the polynomial $t_nx^n+\dots+t_1x+t_0$ has exactly k roots lying in Ω. Then the number of primitive polynomials from $\mathcal{P}_Q$ which have exactly k roots in Ω is equal to $\lambda^*(QA_k)$. By the definition of a prime polynomial, we have that

$$\left|\gamma_k-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^*(QA_k)\right|\le R_Q, \tag{7}$$

where R_Q denotes a number of reducible polynomials (over $\mathbb{Q}$) from $\mathcal{P}_Q$. Note that the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ arises in the above inequality because prime polynomials have positive leading coefficient. It is known (see [31]) that

$$R_Q=O\left(Q^n\log^{l(n)}Q\right),\quad Q\to\infty. \tag{8}$$

There do not exist reducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ integral quadratic polynomials having non-real roots. Hence it follows from (6), (7), and (8) that

$$\Psi(Q;\Omega)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}k\lambda^*(QA_k)+O\left(Q^n\right),\quad Q\to\infty. \tag{9}$$

To estimate $\lambda^*(QA_k)$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Consider a region $A\subset\mathbb{R}^d$, $d\ge 2$, with boundary consisting of a finite number of algebraic surfaces only. Then*

$$\lambda^*(tA)=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(A)}{\zeta(d)}t^d+O\left(t^{d-1}\log^{l(d)}t\right),\quad t\to\infty. \tag{10}$$

Here the implicit constant in the big-O-notation depends on d, the number of the algebraic surfaces, and their maximal degree only.

The results of this type are well-known, see, e.g., the classical monograph by Bachmann [1, pp. 436–444] (in particular, formulas (83a) and (83b) on pages 441–442). For the readers convenience we include a short proof here.

Proof. Note that

$$\lambda(tA) = \sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]+1} \lambda^*\left(\frac{t}{j}A\right),$$

where N is chosen to be so large that $A \subset [-N, N]^d$. Applying the classical Möbius inversion formula (see, e.g., [26]) yields

$$\lambda^*(tA) = \sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]+1} \mu(j)\,\lambda\left(\frac{t}{j}A\right). \tag{11}$$

By the Lipschitz principle (see [12]) it follows that

$$\left|\lambda\left(\frac{t}{j}A\right) - \left(\frac{t}{j}\right)^d \mathrm{Vol}(A)\right| \le c \cdot \left(\frac{t}{j}\right)^{d-1} \tag{12}$$

for some constant c depending on the number of the algebraic surfaces and their maximal degree only. Applying this to (11) we get

$$\left|\lambda^*(tA) - \mathrm{Vol}(A)t^d \sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]+1} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^d}\right| \le c\,t^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]+1} \frac{1}{j^{d-1}}. \tag{13}$$

It is well known (see, e.g., [26]) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^d} = \frac{1}{\zeta(d)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]+1} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^d} - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)}\right| \le \sum_{j=[Nt]+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^d} \le \frac{1}{(d-1)(Nt)^{d-1}}. \tag{14}$$

Furthermore, it holds that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{[Nt]+1} \frac{1}{j^{d-1}} \le \begin{cases} \zeta(d-1), & d \ge 3, \\ \log([Nt]+1)+1, & d = 2. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Combining (13), (14), and (15) completes the proof. □

The right-hand side of (10) is estimated by the right-hand sides of (12) and (14) which are of the same order. The one involving the Möbius function can be made slightly sharper (by a logarithmic factor) using an unconditional estimate for the Mertens function (see, e.g., [27]). Assuming the Riemann hypothesis the latter can be improved more (see [30]). However, the error term in the Lipschitz principle can be made smaller for special type of regions only (see [29]), which is not our case.

Since the boundary of Ω consists of a finite number of algebraic curves, the boundary of A_k consists of a finite number of algebraic surfaces. Thus it follows

from Lemma (3.1) that

$$\lambda^*(QA_k) = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(A_k)}{\zeta(n+1)} Q^{n+1} + O\left(Q^n\right), \quad t \to \infty,$$

which together with (9) implies

$$\Psi(Q;\Omega) = \frac{Q^{n+1}}{2\zeta(n+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k\,\mathrm{Vol}(A_k) + O\left(Q^n\right), \quad Q \to \infty. \tag{16}$$

To calculate $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\,\mathrm{Vol}(A_k)$, we need the following result from the theory of random polynomials. Let $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ be independent random variables uniformly distributed on $[-1,1]$. Consider the random polynomial

$$G(x) = \xi_n x^n + \xi_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + \xi_1 x + \xi_0.$$

Denote by $N(\Omega)$ the number of the roots of $G(z)$ lying in Ω. By definition,

$$\mathrm{Vol}(A_k) = 2^{n+1}\,\mathbb{P}(N(\Omega) = k),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\,\mathrm{Vol}(A_k) = 2^{n+1}\,\mathbb{E}N(\Omega). \tag{17}$$

The right-hand side of the latter relation was calculated in [32] in a more general setup: it was shown that if the coefficients $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ have a joint probability density function $p(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $\mathbb{E}N(\Omega)$ is given by the formula

(18)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}N(\Omega) = &\int_{\Omega} dr d\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}\, \frac{r^2}{\sin\alpha} \\
&\times \left(\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k r^{k-1} \left((k+1)\cos(k+1)\alpha - \cos\alpha\, \frac{\sin(k+1)\alpha}{\sin\alpha} \right) \right]^2 \right. \\
&\left. + \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k t_k r^{k-1} \sin(k+1)\alpha \right]^2 \right) \\
&\times p\left(\frac{1}{\sin\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k r^{k+1} \sin k\alpha,\ -\frac{1}{\sin\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k r^k \sin(k+1)\alpha,\ t_1,\ \dots,\ t_{n-1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = |z|$ and $\alpha = \arg z$ are polar coordinates in the complex plane. The corresponding formula in [32] contains a typo. Here we use the correct version.

In the case when the coefficients are independent and uniformly distributed on $[-1,1]$, their joint probability density function equals

$$p = 2^{-n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]^{n+1}}.$$

Thus it follows from (17) and (16) that to finish the proof, it is enough to show that for this specific p the right-hand side of (18) is equal to

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(z)\,\nu(dz),$$

where ψ is defined in (3).

Indeed, the integrand in (3) can be transformed as follows:

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \left((k+1)z^k - \frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z}\right)\right|^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \left((k+1)z^{k+1} - z\frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z}\right)\right|^2$$

$$= \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k r^k \left(\left[(k+1)\cos(k+1)\alpha - \cos\alpha\,\frac{\sin(k+1)\alpha}{\sin\alpha}\right] + i\left[k\sin(k+1)\alpha\right]\right)\right|^2,$$

and the functions that define the region D_z can be transformed as follows:

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k\left(z^{k+1} - z\frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z}\right)\right| = \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \left(\operatorname{Re} z^{k+1} - \operatorname{Re} z\frac{\operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}}{\operatorname{Im} z}\right)\right|$$

$$= \left|\frac{1}{\sin\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k r^{k+1}\left(\sin\alpha\cos(k+1)\alpha - \cos\alpha\sin(k+1)\alpha\right)\right|$$

$$= \left|\frac{1}{\sin\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k r^{k+1}\sin k\alpha\right|,$$

and

$$\left|\frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} z}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k \operatorname{Im} z^{k+1}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\sin\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k r^k \sin(k+1)\alpha\right|.$$

The proof follows.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Vasili Bernik for his valuable comments, Natalia Budarina and Hanna Husakova for helpful discussions, and the anonymous referee for his helpful remarks.

References

[1] P. Bachmann. *Die analytische Zahlentheorie*, volume 2. BG Teubner, Leipzig, 1894.

[2] V. Beresnevich. On approximation of real numbers by real algebraic numbers. *Acta Arith.*, 90(2):97–112, 1999.

[3] V. Beresnevich, V. Bernik, and F. Götze. The distribution of close conjugate algebraic numbers. *Compos. Math.*, 146(5):1165–1179, 2010.

[4] V. I. Bernik and D. V. Vasil'ev. A Khinchin-type theorem for integer-valued polynomials of a complex variable. *Tr. Inst. Mat. (Minsk)*, 3:10–20, 1999. (In Russian).

[5] N. V. Budarina and F. Götze. Distance between conjugate algebraic numbers in clusters. *Mathematical Notes*, 94(5–6):816–819, 2013. (Translation of Mat. Zametki, 94:5 (2013), pp. 780–783).

[6] Y. Bugeaud. *Approximation by algebraic numbers*, volume 160. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[7] Y. Bugeaud and A. Dujella. Root separation for irreducible integer polynomials. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 43(6):1239–1244, 2011.

[8] Y. Bugeaud and A. Dujella. Root separation for reducible integer polynomials. *Acta Arith.*, 162(4):393–403, 2014.

[9] Y. Bugeaud and M. Mignotte. On the distance between roots of integer polynomials. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 47(3):553–556, 2004.

[10] Y. Bugeaud and M. Mignotte. Polynomial root separation. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 6(3):587–602, 2010.
[11] S.-J. Chern and J. D. Vaaler. The distribution of values of Mahler's measure. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, (540):1–47, 2001.
[12] H. Davenport. On a principle of Lipschitz. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 26:179–183, 1951.
[13] P. Erdős and P. Turán. On the distribution of roots of polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 51(1):105–119, 1950.
[14] J.-H. Evertse. Distances between the conjugates of an algebraic number. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 65(3–4):323–340, 2004.
[15] F. Götze, D. Kaliada, and D. Zaporozhets. Correlation functions of real zeros of random polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1510.00025*, 2015.
[16] F. Götze, D. Kaliada, and D. Zaporozhets. Correlations between real conjugate algebraic numbers. *Chebyshevskii Sb.*, 16(4):90–99, 2015.
[17] F. Götze and D. Zaporozhets. Discriminant and root separation of integral polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1407.6388*, 2014.
[18] I. Ibragimov and D. Zaporozhets. On distribution of zeros of random polynomials in complex plane. *Prokhorov and Contemporary Probability Theory. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, 33:303–323, 2013.
[19] D. Kaliada. Distribution of real algebraic numbers of the second degree. *Vestsi NAN Belarusi. Ser. fiz.-mat. navuk*, (3):54–63, 2013. (In Russian).
[20] D. Kaliada. On the density function of the distribution of real algebraic numbers. *Preprint, arXiv:1405.1627*, 2014.
[21] D. Koleda. On the asymptotic distribution of algebraic numbers with growing naive height. *Chebyshevskii Sb.*, 16(1):191–204, 2015. (In Russian).
[22] S. Lang. *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*. Springer, Heidelberg, 1983.
[23] D. Masser and J. D. Vaaler. Counting algebraic numbers with large height II. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 359(1):427–445, 2007.
[24] D. Masser and J. D. Vaaler. Counting algebraic numbers with large height I. In *Diophantine Approximation*, volume 16 of *Developments in Mathematics*, pages 237–243. Springer, 2008.
[25] I. E. Pritsker. Distribution of algebraic numbers. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, (657):57–80, 2011.
[26] H. Rademacher. *Lectures on elementary number theory*. Huntington, 1977.
[27] O. Ramaré. From explicit estimates for primes to explicit estimates for the Möbius function. *Acta Arith.*, 157(4):365–379, 2013.
[28] D. Shparo and M. Shur. On the distribution of roots of random polynomials. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, 3:40–43, 1962. (In Russian).
[29] M. M. Skriganov. Ergodic theory on SL(n), diophantine approximations and anomalies in the lattice point problem. *Invent. Math.*, 132(1):1–72, 1998.
[30] K. Soundararajan. Partial sums of the Möbius function. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, (631):141–152, 2009.
[31] B. L. van der Waerden. Die Seltenheit der reduziblen Gleichungen und der Gleichungen mit Affekt. *Monatsh. Math. Phys.*, 43(1):133–147, 1936.
[32] D. Zaporozhets. On distribution of the number of real zeros of a random polynomial. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI*, 320:69–79, 2004. English translation: J. Math. Sci.

Friedrich Götze, Department of Mathematics, Bielefeld University, P. O. Box 10 01 31, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany
E-mail address: goetze@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Dzianis Kaliada, Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, 220072 Minsk, Belarus
E-mail address: koledad@rambler.ru

Dmitry Zaporozhets, St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Fontanka 27, 191011 St. Petersburg, Russia
E-mail address: zap1979@gmail.com